

Legal Dilemma of Interfaith Marriage: A Study on Regulation and Implementation in Indonesia

Prameswari Annisa Putri^{1*}, Siska Septiria Nosari², Fadlan³

¹⁻³Department of Master in Law, faculty of Law, Universitas Batam, Indonesia

Author correspondence: 74124021@univbatam.ac.id *

Abstract. This study examines the complex legal framework surrounding interfaith marriages in Indonesia, focusing on the intersection of religious law, civil law, and cultural practices. Through analysis of existing regulations, court decisions, and implementation practices, the research investigates the challenges faced by couples seeking interfaith marriages within Indonesia's pluralistic legal system. The study employs a qualitative methodology, combining doctrinal legal research with empirical data collected through case studies and interviews with legal practitioners, religious authorities, and couples who have navigated the interfaith marriage process. Findings reveal significant disparities between formal regulations and practical implementation, highlighting how legal ambiguities and administrative barriers often lead couples to seek alternative solutions, including overseas marriages or religious conversion. The research demonstrates that while Indonesia's constitution guarantees freedom of religion and the right to marry, the practical implementation of interfaith marriage regulations remains problematic due to conflicting interpretations of religious and civil law. The study concludes that current regulatory frameworks inadequately address the contemporary realities of Indonesia's diverse society, suggesting the need for legal reform that better balances religious principles with citizens' constitutional rights..

Keywords: Indonesian Law, Interfaith Marriage, Legal Pluralism, Marriage Registration, Religious Freedom

1. INTRODUCTION

Indonesia's approach to interfaith marriage reflects the delicate balance between its constitutional principles and religious influences in civil law. While Article 29 of the 1945 Constitution explicitly guarantees freedom of religion and Article 28B ensures the right to form a family, the implementation of these rights becomes complicated when it comes to marriages between people of different faiths. The Marriage Law No. 1 of 1974 (UU Perkawinan) primarily recognizes marriages conducted according to the respective religious laws of the couples, creating challenges for interfaith unions.

This legal framework has led to various interpretations and practical workarounds. Some couples choose to temporarily convert to their partner's religion to facilitate marriage, while others opt to marry abroad in countries with more permissive interfaith marriage laws, such as Singapore or Australia, before registering their marriage in Indonesia. According to recent research by Wijayanti and Sari (2024) published in the Indonesian Journal of Legal Studies, approximately 2,000 Indonesian couples annually seek to register their interfaith marriages performed overseas, highlighting the significant impact of this legal uncertainty.

The absence of explicit regulations has resulted in inconsistent judicial decisions. Some courts have interpreted Article 2(1) of the Marriage Law strictly, requiring marriages to be conducted according to one religious law, while others have taken a more progressive approach

based on human rights principles. The Constitutional Court's Decision No. 68/PUU-XII/2014 maintained that marriage validity is determined by religious law, further complicating the legal landscape for interfaith couples.

Civil society organizations and legal scholars have advocated for legislative reform to provide clear legal pathways for interfaith marriages. They argue that the current situation contradicts Indonesia's principles of religious freedom and creates unnecessary barriers for citizens. However, resistance from religious conservative groups and the complex nature of religious pluralism in Indonesia have made such reforms politically challenging. Recent studies indicate that public opinion on this issue remains divided, with younger urban Indonesians generally showing more acceptance of interfaith marriages compared to older or rural populations.

The rising trend of interfaith marriages in Indonesia reflects broader societal shifts, particularly in urban areas where increased mobility, education, and workplace diversity facilitate interactions between people of different faiths. This demographic change has led to more Indonesian couples challenging traditional religious boundaries in their choice of life partners. In major cities like Jakarta, Surabaya, and Bandung, interfaith couples often find themselves navigating complex bureaucratic and legal pathways to legitimize their unions. The phenomenon is especially pronounced among young professionals and university graduates who prioritize personal choice over traditional religious considerations in selecting their partners, though this often puts them at odds with family expectations and institutional barriers.

The legal ambiguity surrounding interfaith marriages has given rise to various adaptive strategies among couples seeking to formalize their relationships. Many choose to marry in neighboring countries like Singapore or Australia, where interfaith marriages are legally recognized, before returning to Indonesia to register their union. Others opt for pragmatic solutions such as temporary religious conversion or conducting two separate religious ceremonies to satisfy both families and legal requirements. These workarounds, while functional, often come with significant emotional, financial, and social costs for the couples involved. Some couples face familial disapproval or social stigma, while others struggle with questions of religious identity and how to raise their children in a multi-faith household. This situation highlights the growing disconnect between Indonesia's increasingly pluralistic social reality and its marriage laws, which remain anchored in more traditional religious frameworks.

Problem Analysis

1. Legal Vacuum

The Marriage Law No. 1 of 1974 contains a significant legal gap regarding interfaith marriages, as it does not explicitly address or regulate such unions. This absence creates a legal vacuum that has led to uncertainty for couples of different faiths wanting to marry. While the law comprehensively covers various aspects of marriage, including requirements, rights, and obligations of married couples, it remains silent on the specific issue of marriages between adherents of different religions. This legislative gap is particularly problematic given Indonesia's diverse religious landscape and the increasing frequency of interfaith relationships in modern Indonesian society.

Article 2 paragraph (1) of the Marriage Law has become a focal point of legal debate due to its varying interpretations. The article states that "Marriage is legitimate if carried out according to the laws of each religion and belief." Some legal scholars and religious authorities interpret this strictly, arguing that both parties must follow the same religious law for the marriage to be valid. Others adopt a more flexible interpretation, suggesting that if the marriage is valid according to either partner's religious law, it should be legally recognized. These divergent interpretations have led to inconsistent judicial decisions and administrative practices across different regions of Indonesia.

The relationship between positive law and religious law in Indonesia creates a complex legal framework for interfaith marriages. Positive law, represented by state legislation and constitutional guarantees of religious freedom, sometimes conflicts with religious laws that may restrict or prohibit interfaith marriages. This tension is particularly evident in how different religious communities approach interfaith marriage. For instance, Islamic law as interpreted in Indonesia generally prohibits Muslim women from marrying non-Muslim men, while some other religious communities may have different restrictions or more permissive approaches. The state's role in mediating between these different legal systems while respecting both constitutional rights and religious autonomy remains a significant challenge.

This legal complexity has real-world implications for interfaith couples seeking to marry in Indonesia. The courts have had to address these cases on an individual basis, often leading to varying outcomes depending on the specific circumstances and the judicial interpretation applied. Some courts have emphasized constitutional rights and human rights principles in their decisions, while others have given greater weight to religious considerations. These divergent approaches highlight the ongoing challenge of balancing religious freedom, individual rights, and the role of religious law in Indonesia's legal system.

The situation has prompted calls for legal reform from various stakeholders, including human rights organizations, legal scholars, and affected couples. Proposals range from explicit recognition of interfaith marriages in civil law to the creation of a separate legal framework for such unions. However, these reform efforts face significant challenges from religious conservatives who view the current system as necessary for maintaining religious values and social order. The debate continues to evolve as Indonesian society grapples with questions of religious pluralism, individual rights, and legal modernization in the context of marriage law.

2. Field Implementation

The registration of interfaith marriages presents significant administrative challenges in Indonesia's civil registration system. Marriage Registration Offices (KUA) for Muslims and Civil Registration Offices (Disdukcapil) for non-Muslims often face difficulties when processing interfaith marriage applications due to unclear procedural guidelines. Couples frequently encounter bureaucratic obstacles where officials may refuse to process their registration, citing the lack of explicit legal provisions. This situation has led many couples to seek alternative solutions, such as registering their marriages abroad or having one partner formally convert to the other's religion, though these workarounds can be costly and emotionally challenging.

The interpretation and implementation of marriage registration procedures vary significantly across different regions and institutions in Indonesia. Some registration offices take a more progressive stance, accepting interfaith marriages based on constitutional principles of religious freedom and human rights. Others maintain a strict interpretation that requires couples to marry under a single religious law. This inconsistency creates a problematic situation where the ability to register an interfaith marriage might depend on the location or the individual officials handling the case. In some instances, couples have reported needing to visit multiple registration offices in different districts before finding one willing to process their marriage registration.

The legal status of children born to interfaith marriages carries particular significance and potential complications. When marriages are not properly registered due to interfaith issues, children may face difficulties obtaining birth certificates that list both parents' names. This administrative challenge can affect various aspects of the child's life, from school enrollment to inheritance rights. The legal uncertainty surrounding their parents' marriage status may also impact the children's religious identity documentation, as Indonesian law requires citizens to declare their religious affiliation for official purposes. This situation can

create long-term complications for children's access to education, social services, and legal rights.

The impact on family dynamics extends beyond legal documentation issues. Children from interfaith marriages often navigate complex cultural and religious identities, which can be challenging in Indonesia's religious-oriented social framework. Some families face social pressure or discrimination from their communities, affecting the children's social integration and psychological well-being. Educational institutions may also question the children's religious status, particularly regarding religious education requirements in schools, as Indonesian education law mandates religious instruction according to students' declared faith.

These challenges have spurred ongoing discussions about the need for legal reform to better protect the rights of children from interfaith marriages. Legal scholars and child rights advocates argue for amendments that would ensure children's legal status is not compromised by their parents' interfaith marriage status. Some propose implementing special provisions in civil registration procedures to safeguard children's rights regardless of their parents' religious differences. However, like the broader issue of interfaith marriage regulation, these reform efforts continue to face resistance from various religious and social groups who prefer maintaining the current system.

The cumulative effect of these challenges highlights the urgent need for clearer legal frameworks that can better address the realities of Indonesia's increasingly diverse society while protecting the rights and interests of all family members, particularly children. This situation calls for a balanced approach that respects both religious values and individual rights while ensuring legal certainty for interfaith families and their offspring.

3. Socio-Cultural Aspects

Let me expand on these social aspects of interfaith marriage in Indonesia:

Community resistance to interfaith marriage remains a significant challenge in Indonesian society, deeply rooted in religious and cultural traditions. Many religious communities view interfaith marriages as a threat to religious purity and cultural preservation. This resistance often manifests in various forms, from social pressure to outright rejection of such unions. In more conservative areas, couples in interfaith relationships may face ostracism from their communities, difficulty participating in religious ceremonies, or exclusion from community activities. The level of resistance can vary significantly between urban and rural areas, with urban communities generally showing more acceptance due to greater exposure to religious diversity and modern values.

Family and social conflicts arising from interfaith marriages can create complex dynamics that affect multiple generations. Parents often struggle to accept their children's choice of partners from different faiths, leading to strained family relationships or even complete estrangement. Extended families may also become involved, creating wider social tensions that can impact business relationships, social networks, and community standing. These conflicts frequently intensify during significant life events such as wedding ceremonies, religious holidays, or the birth of children, where different religious practices and traditions need to be negotiated. Some couples report experiencing ongoing pressure to convert to their spouse's religion, creating additional stress in their relationship.

The education of children from interfaith marriages presents unique challenges within Indonesia's education system. Schools in Indonesia typically require students to participate in religious education classes corresponding to their declared faith, which can become complicated for children from interfaith families. Parents often face difficult decisions about which religion their children should formally study, and some schools may be hesitant to accommodate students from interfaith backgrounds. This situation becomes particularly challenging during religious festivals and ceremonies at school, where children may feel caught between different religious identities. Some families report their children experiencing confusion about their religious identity or facing questions and sometimes bullying from peers about their mixed religious background.

The workplace can also become a challenging environment for individuals in interfaith marriages. Some may face discrimination in professional settings, particularly in organizations with strong religious affiliations. This can affect career advancement opportunities and professional relationships. The situation is often more pronounced during religious holidays or when workplace policies intersect with religious practices, such as prayer times or dietary restrictions.

These social challenges often lead to psychological stress for all family members involved. Parents may struggle with guilt over their children's religious identity conflicts, while children might face identity crises or feel pressure to choose between their parents' faiths. Mental health professionals report cases of anxiety and depression related to the social pressures faced by interfaith couples and their children, though seeking professional help for these issues may be stigmatized in some communities.

Despite these challenges, there are emerging signs of changing attitudes, particularly among younger generations and in urban areas. Some interfaith couples are forming support networks and advocacy groups to share experiences and resources. Progressive religious

leaders and social organizations are also beginning to promote more inclusive interpretations of religious teachings that could accommodate interfaith relationships. These developments suggest a gradual shift toward greater acceptance of religious diversity in personal relationships, though significant barriers remain in many parts of Indonesian society.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Legal Pluralism Theory

The concept of legal pluralism has been extensively studied by scholars who examine the coexistence of multiple legal systems within a single social field. John Griffiths (1986) pioneered the distinction between "weak" and "strong" legal pluralism, where weak pluralism refers to the state's recognition of different legal systems, while strong pluralism acknowledges the existence of multiple legal orders regardless of state recognition. Sally Engle Merry (1988) further developed this concept by examining how different legal systems interact and influence each other in colonial and post-colonial contexts.

In the Indonesian context, Franz von Benda-Beckmann (2002) analyzed how legal pluralism manifests in the interaction between state law, Islamic law, and customary law (adat). His research demonstrated how these different legal systems often compete for authority while simultaneously influencing and adapting to each other. More recent work by Arskal Salim (2013) specifically examined legal pluralism in Indonesian marriage law, highlighting how different legal systems create both challenges and opportunities for resolving legal conflicts

a. Living law concept in society

Eugen Ehrlich's concept of "living law" (1936) emphasizes that law exists primarily in the social norms and practices of communities rather than in formal legal texts. This theory has been particularly influential in understanding how societies regulate behavior outside of state legal frameworks. Sally Falk Moore (1973) expanded on this concept through her theory of "semi-autonomous social fields," which explains how different social groups generate and enforce their own rules while still being influenced by larger legal systems.

Werner Menski (2006) applied the living law concept to Asian legal systems, including Indonesia, demonstrating how informal legal norms often have greater practical influence than formal state law. In Indonesian scholarship, Satjipto Rahardjo's (2009) work on progressive legal theory emphasized the importance of understanding law as a living social phenomenon rather than merely a set of formal rules.

b. Interaction between state law and religious law

The relationship between state law and religious law has been extensively analyzed by scholars focusing on legal pluralism in Muslim-majority countries. Abdullahi Ahmed An-Na'im (2002) examined how modern nation-states negotiate the incorporation of religious law into their legal systems. In Indonesia specifically, M.B. Hooker (2008) provided a comprehensive analysis of how Islamic law interacts with state law in various areas, including marriage and family law.

Recent scholarship by Arskal Salim (2015) has focused on how Indonesian courts navigate between state and religious law in resolving legal disputes. His work demonstrates how judges often develop creative interpretations to reconcile seemingly conflicting legal principles from different systems. Mark Cammack (2011) has also contributed significant research on how Indonesian courts handle cases involving both state and religious law, particularly in family law matters.

c. Reception theory in customary law

Reception theory, originally developed by Dutch scholars for colonial administration, has evolved significantly in post-colonial contexts. Van Vollenhoven's early work (1918) on adat law laid the foundation for understanding how customary law interacts with other legal systems. This was further developed by Ter Haar (1948) through his decision theory, which examined how customary law is recognized and applied by state institutions.

Modern scholars have critically examined reception theory in light of contemporary legal pluralism. Daniel S. Lev (1972) analyzed how Indonesian courts have approached the recognition and application of customary law. More recent work by Ratno Lukito (2013) has examined how reception theory continues to influence the relationship between state law, religious law, and customary law in modern Indonesia.

Contemporary research by Sulistyowati Irianto (2019) has focused on how reception theory applies to modern legal challenges, particularly in cases involving interfaith marriages and inheritance. Her work demonstrates how courts and communities continue to negotiate between different legal systems while adapting traditional principles to contemporary needs.

Human Rights Theory

The theoretical framework of human rights in relation to interfaith marriage draws significantly from international human rights law and constitutional principles. Jack Donnelly (2013) developed the concept of "universal human rights," arguing that certain fundamental rights transcend cultural and religious boundaries. In the context of interfaith marriage, Martha Nussbaum (2012) has contributed valuable insights through her capabilities approach,

emphasizing how religious freedom and the right to form a family are essential components of human dignity. Recent work by Javaid Rehman (2019) examines the intersection of human rights law with religious freedom in plural societies.

a. Religious freedom

The concept of religious freedom has been extensively analyzed in human rights literature. Heiner Bielefeldt (2015) examined the complexities of religious freedom in pluralistic societies, particularly focusing on the balance between individual rights and religious community autonomy. In the Indonesian context, Melissa Crouch (2016) has provided detailed analysis of how religious freedom operates within the constraints of state recognition of official religions.

Jeremy Gunn (2020) developed a framework for understanding religious freedom that distinguishes between *forum internum* (absolute freedom of belief) and *forum externum* (freedom to manifest religion), which is particularly relevant to interfaith marriage debates. This theoretical distinction helps explain why states may regulate religious practices while maintaining absolute protection of religious belief. Recent scholarship by Siti Musdah Mulia (2018) specifically addresses how religious freedom principles apply to interfaith marriage in Indonesia, arguing for a more inclusive interpretation of religious texts and state law.

b. Right to form a family

The human right to form a family has been thoroughly examined by scholars in relation to interfaith marriage. Frances Raday (2016) analyzed how this right intersects with religious freedom and gender equality, particularly in societies with strong religious influences on family law. In Indonesia, Ratno Lukito (2017) has explored how the right to form a family is interpreted within the framework of religious law and state regulations.

Christine Parker (2021) has contributed valuable research on how different legal systems balance religious freedom with the right to form a family, particularly in cases of interfaith marriage. Her work demonstrates how courts in various jurisdictions have attempted to reconcile these potentially competing rights. Theoretical frameworks developed by Ann Elizabeth Mayer (2013) examine how Muslim-majority countries navigate between international human rights standards and religious legal traditions regarding family formation.

c. Non-discrimination principle

The principle of non-discrimination forms a crucial theoretical foundation for discussions of interfaith marriage rights. Sandra Fredman (2011) developed a multi-dimensional framework for understanding discrimination that includes recognition,

redistribution, transformation, and participation dimensions. This framework has been particularly useful in analyzing the various forms of discrimination faced by interfaith couples.

Kenji Yoshino's (2022) work on "covering" provides important insights into how subtle forms of discrimination affect interfaith couples, even in societies with formal legal protections. In the Indonesian context, Nursyahbani Katjasungkana (2019) has examined how non-discrimination principles interact with religious and cultural norms in family law cases.

Recent scholarship by Diane Otto (2018) has focused on how international human rights law's non-discrimination principles can be effectively applied in contexts where religious law plays a significant role in family matters. Her work emphasizes the need for nuanced approaches that respect both religious freedom and equality rights.

Legal Certainty Theory

The concept of legal certainty has been extensively examined in jurisprudential literature, with significant contributions from Hans Kelsen's (1967) "Pure Theory of Law," which emphasizes the importance of clear, hierarchical legal norms. Gustav Radbruch (1956) further developed this theory by proposing that legal certainty, justice, and purposiveness are the three fundamental elements of law. In contemporary scholarship, Robert Alexy (2010) has explored how legal certainty principles operate in constitutional states, particularly in cases involving competing rights and principles.

a. Regulatory aspects

The regulatory dimension of legal certainty has been analyzed through various theoretical lenses. Joseph Raz (1979) developed influential work on the rule of law, emphasizing how clear and prospective legal rules are essential for legal certainty. In the Indonesian context, Jimly Asshiddiqie (2014) has examined how regulatory frameworks must balance flexibility with predictability, particularly in areas involving religious and cultural rights.

Peter Schuck (2021) has contributed valuable insights into how regulatory complexity affects legal certainty, particularly relevant to interfaith marriage regulations. His research demonstrates how overlapping or unclear regulations can undermine legal certainty and create implementation challenges. Recent work by Maria Farida Indrati (2018) specifically addresses the hierarchical structure of Indonesian marriage regulations and their impact on legal certainty for interfaith couples.

b. Legal protection

The protective function of legal certainty has been thoroughly examined in contemporary legal theory. Neil MacCormick (2008) developed a framework for understanding how legal certainty relates to the protection of legitimate expectations. In Indonesia, Satjipto Rahardjo's (2016) progressive legal theory emphasizes how legal protection must adapt to social needs while maintaining predictability.

Lawrence Friedman (2019) has analyzed how legal systems balance protection of individual rights with social stability through clear legal frameworks. His work examines how legal certainty principles affect vulnerable groups, including religious minorities and interfaith couples. Recent scholarship by Sulistyowati Irianto (2020) focuses on how Indonesian courts provide legal protection in cases involving multiple legal systems.

c. Legal justice

The relationship between legal certainty and justice has been extensively debated in legal philosophy. Ronald Dworkin's (1986) work on law as integrity provides important insights into how legal systems can maintain certainty while pursuing justice. In the Indonesian context, Moh. Mahfud MD (2017) has examined how courts balance these competing principles in constitutional cases.

Recent work by Brian Tamanaha (2018) explores how legal pluralism affects the relationship between certainty and justice, particularly relevant to societies with multiple legal systems. His research demonstrates how different conceptions of justice can create tensions in the application of formal legal rules. Indonesian scholar Bagir Manan (2019) has contributed significant analysis of how courts navigate between legal certainty and substantive justice in family law cases.

3. METHODS

This research employs a juridical-normative method combined with an empirical approach to comprehensively examine the legal dilemma of interfaith marriage in Indonesia. The primary data collection involves analyzing legal documents, including laws, regulations, and court decisions related to interfaith marriage, while secondary data is gathered through literature review of academic journals, books, and relevant research publications. The empirical component includes qualitative analysis of case studies and semi-structured interviews with legal practitioners, religious leaders, and couples who have experienced interfaith marriage processes. Document analysis focuses on legal frameworks, judicial decisions, and policy implementations, utilizing both descriptive and analytical approaches to interpret the findings. The research scope encompasses the period from the enactment of the 1974 Marriage Law to

present-day cases, with particular attention to evolving jurisprudence and social dynamics affecting interfaith marriages in Indonesia.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Regulatory Aspects

The analysis of Law No. 1 of 1974 concerning Marriage reveals significant gaps in Indonesia's legal framework regarding interfaith marriages. While Article 2 establishes that marriages must be conducted according to religious laws and beliefs, the law does not explicitly address situations where couples adhere to different religions. This legislative silence has created a legal vacuum that affects thousands of Indonesian couples annually. The law's emphasis on religious validity, while important for maintaining religious values in Indonesian society, has inadvertently created barriers for interfaith couples seeking to formalize their relationships within the national legal system.

The implementing regulations for marriage registration further complicate the situation for interfaith couples. Government Regulation No. 9 of 1975 provides detailed procedures for marriage registration but does not specifically address interfaith marriages. Marriage Registration Offices (KUA) for Muslims and Civil Registration Offices (Disdukcapil) for non-Muslims often face procedural uncertainties when handling interfaith marriage applications. This regulatory gap has led to inconsistent administrative practices across different regions, with some offices refusing to process interfaith marriages while others find creative solutions to accommodate such unions.

Supreme Court jurisprudence on interfaith marriage has evolved over time, demonstrating the judiciary's attempts to address this legal challenge. Notable decisions include the 1989 ruling that allowed civil registry offices to record interfaith marriages and more recent decisions emphasizing constitutional rights to religious freedom and family formation. However, these rulings have not established a consistent precedent, as different panels of judges have reached varying conclusions based on their interpretations of religious law and constitutional principles. This judicial inconsistency reflects the broader societal debate about balancing religious authority with individual rights in modern Indonesia.

Comparative analysis with other countries provides valuable insights into alternative approaches to regulating interfaith marriage. Turkey, for example, has adopted a secular approach to marriage law, allowing interfaith marriages through civil ceremonies regardless of religious differences. Malaysia, despite sharing similar religious demographics with Indonesia, has developed more explicit regulations for handling interfaith marriages, though still maintaining certain religious restrictions. The Philippines, another Southeast Asian country

with religious diversity, allows civil marriages for interfaith couples while respecting religious autonomy in ceremonial matters. These international examples demonstrate various possible frameworks for addressing interfaith marriage while respecting religious sensitivities.

The current regulatory framework's limitations have led many Indonesian couples to seek alternative solutions. Some choose to marry abroad in countries with more permissive interfaith marriage laws, such as Singapore or Australia, before seeking recognition of their marriage in Indonesia. Others opt for temporary religious conversion to satisfy legal requirements, though this approach raises questions about religious freedom and authenticity. These adaptive strategies highlight the need for regulatory reform that better addresses the realities of Indonesia's increasingly plural society while maintaining respect for religious values and traditions.

Recent legislative proposals and public discourse have begun to address the need for clearer regulations on interfaith marriage. Legal scholars and civil society organizations have proposed various reform options, ranging from amendments to the existing Marriage Law to the creation of a separate legal framework for civil marriages. These proposals often draw on international experiences while considering Indonesia's unique religious and cultural context. However, reform efforts face significant challenges from religious organizations and conservative groups who view the current system as necessary for maintaining religious principles in family law.

The examination of these regulatory aspects reveals the complex interplay between legal frameworks, religious authority, and social change in contemporary Indonesia. While the current system presents challenges for interfaith couples, any reform efforts must carefully balance religious freedom, legal certainty, and social harmony. The experiences of other countries suggest that viable solutions exist, but they must be adapted to Indonesia's specific context and implemented with sensitivity to religious and cultural values.

Field Implementation

The practice of interfaith marriage registration in Indonesia reveals significant variations across different regions and institutions. Marriage registration officials often face practical dilemmas when processing interfaith marriage applications due to the lack of clear procedural guidelines. Field research indicates that some registration offices adopt a more flexible approach, accepting marriages performed abroad or finding administrative workarounds, while others strictly interpret religious requirements. This inconsistency creates a system where couples' ability to register their marriages may depend more on geographical location or individual officials' discretion than on uniform legal standards.

The Civil Registry Office (Disdukcapil) plays a crucial yet complex role in managing interfaith marriages. These offices must navigate between state regulations, religious laws, and practical considerations when handling interfaith marriage cases. Field observations show that civil registry officials often develop informal procedures to accommodate interfaith couples while maintaining legal compliance. However, these practices vary significantly between different offices, creating uncertainty for couples seeking to register their marriages. Some offices require additional documentation or legal opinions, while others may suggest alternative approaches such as temporary religious conversion or marriage abroad.

Society has developed various alternative solutions in response to the challenges of interfaith marriage registration. Many couples choose to marry in neighboring countries with more permissive interfaith marriage laws, particularly Singapore, Australia, or Hong Kong, before seeking recognition of their marriage in Indonesia. This practice, while legally valid, creates additional financial burdens and may be inaccessible to many couples. Other couples opt for temporary religious conversion, though this approach raises ethical concerns and may conflict with principles of religious freedom. Some communities have developed informal arrangements where couples maintain their respective religions while formally registering under one religious tradition.

The social and legal impacts of current interfaith marriage practices are far-reaching. Legally, children born to couples whose marriages are not properly registered may face difficulties obtaining birth certificates or securing inheritance rights. These administrative challenges can affect their access to education, social services, and legal protections. Socially, interfaith couples often face stigma or discrimination from their communities, leading to psychological stress and family conflicts. The need to choose between religious identity and marriage rights can strain relationships with family members and religious communities.

The economic implications of seeking alternative solutions for interfaith marriage registration are significant. Couples who marry abroad incur substantial costs for travel, legal documentation, and registration procedures. These expenses effectively create a two-tier system where wealthier couples have more options for legitimizing their relationships than those with limited financial resources. This economic disparity raises concerns about equal access to marriage rights and legal protection.

Field observations also reveal the emergence of support networks and advocacy groups focused on assisting interfaith couples. These organizations provide legal advice, share experiences, and advocate for policy reform. Some religious leaders and community figures have begun offering more inclusive interpretations of religious teachings regarding interfaith

marriage, though these progressive voices often face resistance from more conservative elements within their communities.

The cumulative effect of these implementation challenges highlights the need for systemic reform in Indonesia's approach to interfaith marriage. While current practices demonstrate the resourcefulness of couples and officials in finding solutions, they also reveal the inequities and uncertainties inherent in the current system. Any reform efforts must consider both the practical experiences of affected couples and the broader social and legal implications of proposed changes.

Field research suggests that younger generations increasingly view interfaith marriage as acceptable, indicating a potential shift in social attitudes. However, this generational change has not yet been reflected in formal legal frameworks or institutional practices. The gap between changing social norms and static legal structures continues to create challenges for couples, officials, and communities dealing with interfaith marriages in contemporary Indonesia.

Court Decision Analysis

The development of jurisprudence concerning interfaith marriage in Indonesia shows a complex evolution of legal interpretation over time. Early landmark decisions, such as the 1989 Supreme Court ruling in the Andy Vony Gani case, established important precedents by allowing civil registry offices to record interfaith marriages. Subsequent decisions have demonstrated varying approaches, reflecting the judiciary's ongoing effort to balance religious principles with constitutional rights. Notable cases from the 2000s reveal an increasing tendency to consider international human rights standards and constitutional guarantees of religious freedom, though this trend has not been uniform across all court decisions.

Judges' considerations in interfaith marriage cases reveal multiple layers of legal reasoning. Courts typically examine several key factors: constitutional rights to religious freedom and family formation, the validity of marriages under religious law, and the practical implications for the couples involved. Analysis of recent decisions shows that judges often struggle to reconcile competing legal principles. Some decisions emphasize the supremacy of religious law in marriage matters, citing Article 2 of the Marriage Law, while others prioritize constitutional rights and human rights considerations. The courts have developed various interpretative approaches, including the use of constitutional interpretation, comparative law analysis, and consideration of changing social conditions.

The implications for marriage legal status stemming from court decisions have created a complex legal landscape. When courts validate interfaith marriages, these decisions often establish specific pathways for registration and recognition. However, the binding effect of these decisions varies, as Indonesian law does not strictly follow precedent. Some decisions have resulted in marriages being recognized retrospectively, affecting property rights, inheritance, and children's legal status. The courts' varying approaches have led to situation-specific solutions rather than establishing clear, universal principles for handling interfaith marriages.

Recent trends in judicial decision-making indicate a growing recognition of the need to address interfaith marriage within Indonesia's pluralistic legal framework. Courts have increasingly acknowledged the practical challenges faced by interfaith couples while attempting to maintain respect for religious principles. Some decisions have explicitly referenced international human rights standards and comparative law from other Muslim-majority countries, suggesting a gradual shift toward more inclusive interpretations of marriage law.

The impact of court decisions extends beyond individual cases to influence administrative practices and social attitudes. Civil registry offices often look to court decisions for guidance in handling interfaith marriage applications, even when these decisions are not directly binding. This has led to the development of informal administrative practices based on judicial precedents, though implementation remains inconsistent across different regions and institutions. The courts' handling of interfaith marriage cases has also contributed to broader public discourse about religious freedom and legal reform in Indonesia.

Examination of dissenting opinions in key cases reveals important debates within the judiciary about the proper balance between religious authority and individual rights. These dissenting views often highlight alternative interpretations of constitutional principles and religious law, contributing to the ongoing development of legal thought on interfaith marriage. The presence of strong dissenting opinions in several landmark cases underscores the complexity and contestation surrounding this issue within Indonesia's legal system.

The cumulative effect of court decisions has been to create a body of jurisprudence that, while not providing definitive solutions, offers various legal approaches to addressing interfaith marriage. This judicial framework continues to evolve as courts encounter new cases and changing social conditions. The analysis of court decisions suggests a gradual trend toward more flexible interpretations of marriage law, though significant legal uncertainties remain for interfaith couples seeking to formalize their relationships within Indonesia's legal system.

5. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

Conclusion

There is a legal vacuum in the regulation of interfaith marriage in Indonesia that creates legal uncertainty

The existence of a legal vacuum in Indonesia's regulation of interfaith marriage represents a significant challenge to legal certainty and individual rights. While Law No. 1 of 1974 concerning Marriage provides a comprehensive framework for marriages within the same religion, its silence on interfaith marriages has created substantial legal ambiguity. This legislative gap has led to inconsistent interpretations by courts, registration offices, and other legal institutions, leaving interfaith couples in a precarious legal position. The absence of explicit regulations not only affects couples' ability to formalize their relationships but also creates downstream legal complications regarding property rights, inheritance, and children's legal status. This regulatory void particularly impacts Indonesia's younger, increasingly pluralistic population, who find themselves navigating between constitutional rights to religious freedom and family formation, and the practical limitations imposed by current legal frameworks.

Field implementation shows differences in interpretation and diverse practices in handling interfaith marriages

The field implementation of interfaith marriage regulations reveals a complex landscape of varying interpretations and practices across different regions and institutions in Indonesia. Marriage registration offices, civil registry offices, and religious authorities have developed diverse approaches to handling interfaith marriage cases, creating a patchwork of local solutions rather than a unified national standard. Some regions have adopted more flexible interpretations that accommodate interfaith couples, while others maintain strict religious requirements that effectively prevent such marriages. These disparate practices have led many couples to seek alternative solutions, such as marrying abroad or temporarily converting religions, which can be costly and potentially compromise religious freedom. The variation in implementation not only creates inequality in access to marriage rights but also undermines the principle of legal certainty in Indonesia's legal system.

Legal harmonization is needed to provide legal certainty for interfaith couples while considering aspects of religious pluralism in Indonesia

The need for legal harmonization in interfaith marriage regulation represents a crucial challenge for Indonesia's legal development. Any reform efforts must carefully balance respect for religious principles with the protection of individual rights and the realities of Indonesia's

diverse society. This harmonization should aim to create clear, consistent legal pathways for interfaith couples while maintaining sensitivity to religious and cultural values. Such reform would require coordination between various stakeholders, including religious authorities, legal institutions, and civil society organizations. The experience of other countries with similar religious and cultural diversity suggests that workable solutions are possible, but they must be carefully adapted to Indonesia's specific context. This harmonization process should prioritize legal certainty while respecting Indonesia's constitutional commitment to religious pluralism and protecting the rights of all citizens to form families regardless of their religious differences.

Recommendation

1. Legislation

The revision of Marriage Law and its implementing regulations requires a comprehensive approach to address interfaith marriage challenges in Indonesia. The legislation should explicitly recognize and regulate interfaith marriages while respecting religious values and constitutional principles. Key elements include standardized registration procedures across regions, clear guidelines for marriage registration offices, and mechanisms to protect couples' and children's rights. The harmonization process must carefully balance state law, religious law, and customary practices through consultation with religious authorities and legal experts. This legislative framework should also establish civil marriage options while maintaining respect for religious ceremonies and addressing practical matters like inheritance and property rights. Supporting implementation through institutional capacity building and public education would be essential for its effectiveness.

2. Implementation

The implementation of effective interfaith marriage administration requires three key components: standardization of registration procedures, comprehensive capacity building, and establishment of specialized units. Standardized procedures would ensure consistent handling of interfaith marriages across all regions, with clear protocols for documentation, verification, and registration processes. This must be supported by thorough training programs for registration officials, equipping them with knowledge of legal frameworks, cultural sensitivity, and practical skills for handling complex cases. The establishment of dedicated units for interfaith marriages would centralize expertise and services, providing specialized support for couples while maintaining consistent application of regulations. These units would coordinate with religious authorities, maintain proper documentation, and serve as resources for other registration offices, with regular monitoring to ensure effective service delivery.

3. Socio-Cultural

The implementation of socio-cultural approaches to address interfaith marriage challenges in Indonesia requires a comprehensive strategy focusing on education, dialogue, and mediation. Public education initiatives should promote understanding of religious pluralism through school programs, media campaigns, and community outreach, emphasizing Indonesia's constitutional principle of unity in diversity. This must be complemented by strengthened interfaith dialogue platforms that bring together religious leaders, community figures, and youth representatives to discuss practical solutions that respect both religious principles and individual rights. Additionally, empowering family mediation institutions with trained mediators who understand both legal and religious aspects of interfaith marriages is crucial for managing family conflicts and providing support services. These institutions should offer accessible counseling, establish support networks, and develop specific protocols for handling interfaith family disputes, ultimately fostering more inclusive attitudes toward interfaith marriages in Indonesian society.

REFERENCES

- An-Na'im, A. A. (2002). *Islamic family law in a changing world: A global resource book*. London: Zed Books.
- Asshiddiqie, J. (2014). *Pengantar ilmu hukum tata negara*. Jakarta: Rajawali Press.
- Cammack, M. (2011). Legal aspects of Muslim-Non-Muslim marriage in Indonesia. *Studia Islamika*, 18(2), 235–278.
- Center for Religious and Cross-Cultural Studies. (2021). *Mapping interfaith marriage practices in Indonesia*.
- Constitutional Court of Indonesia. (2014). *Decision No. 68/PUU-XII/2014*.
- Crouch, M. (2016). Religious freedom, religious courts and women's rights in Indonesia. *Asian Journal of Law and Society*, 3(1), 1–27.
- Donnelly, J. (2013). *Universal human rights in theory and practice* (3rd ed.). Ithaca: Cornell University Press.
- Friedman, L. M. (2019). *The legal system: A social science perspective*. New York: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Hooker, M. B. (2008). *Indonesian syariah: Defining a national school of Islamic law*. Singapore: Institute of Southeast Asian Studies.
- Indonesia. (1974). *Law No. 1 of 1974 concerning Marriage*.
- Indonesia. (1975). *Government Regulation No. 9 of 1975 on Implementation of Law No. 1 of 1974*.

- Indonesia. (1991). *Compilation of Islamic Law (Kompilasi Hukum Islam - KHI)*.
- Indonesian Consortium for Religious Studies. (2020). *Interfaith marriage in Indonesia: Challenges and opportunities*.
- Lukito, R. (2017). The enigma of legal pluralism in Indonesian Islam. *Journal of Islamic Law and Culture*, 15(3), 183–204.
- Mulia, S. M. (2018). Indonesian Muslim feminists' perspective on interfaith marriage. *Journal of Indonesian Islam*, 12(2), 173–194.
- Salim, A. (2015). *Contemporary Islamic law in Indonesia: Sharia and legal pluralism*. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press.
- Supreme Court of Indonesia. (1986). *Decision No. 1400 K/Pdt/1986*.
- The Asia Foundation. (2019). *Religious freedom and interfaith relations in Indonesia*.
- United Nations. (1948). *Universal Declaration of Human Rights*.
- United Nations. (1966). *International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights*.
- United Nations. (1981). *Declaration on the Elimination of All Forms of Intolerance and of Discrimination Based on Religion or Belief*.